

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FY-84 and FY-85 Attrition Comparison

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

DDA 85-2407/1

Deputy Director for Administration

DATE

15 August 1985

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

Executive Director

2.

3.

DDCI

4.

5.

DCI

6.

7.

DDA

8.

9.

10.

11.

Thought you might find this interesting--very high attrition.

Harry E. Fitzwater

Att

DDA/HEFitzwater:rf (15 Aug 85)

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

1 - ER

1 - DDA Subject

1 - DDA Chrono

1 - HEF Chrono (w/o/att)

P-100

S E C R E T

25X1 The Agency entered fiscal year 1985 with an on-duty
25X1 strength of [] EOD's for the first ten months of
25X1 the fiscal year totaled [] attrition for the same period
25X1 was []

25X1 The Agency entered fiscal year 1984 with an on-duty
25X1 strength of [] During the first ten months of FY84,
25X1 [] employees were EOD'd and the cumulative attrition
25X1 was []

As you can see from the attachments, EOD's for the
first ten months of FY85 were only four percent lower than
in FY84 while at the same time, attrition was 54 percent
higher. This increased attrition resulted from approximately
25X1 [] more resignations and retirements as well as from
greater losses and fewer gains to full-time permanent
positions.

Attachments: Tab A - Monthly Statistics Affecting Agency
Strength

Tab B - Tabulation of Attrition by Month

S E C R E T

Page Denied

Next 9 Page(s) In Document Denied